McDermid; Caveld's legal rights.

Fritz's story does not hold water. For example, if he had given Oswald that massage, it would stil' have existed at the time Cawald was shot. OD 495, "The FBI photographs of phone numbers found in pocket of Dawald at time of shooting", says there were two scraps of paper. I assume when he was jailed and everything taken away from him except for what would have to be very unusual. Each of this papers, according to that report (which I did not copy, having examined it when I was not able to buy Xeroxes), was torn in the same pettern. The numbers are ALZ-4611; CO7-3110, one one scrap, and on the other, OR9-0450 and RIS-9711. In the case of the third number, the way I ty ad it is "OR(". The logical type is the (for the 9, being the same key.

None of these seem to be on the enclosed Jim list. The RIS seems like a Dellas number. In fact, though I've be a there but once, it seems familiar. To time now to check. I'd mislaid this note, found it only this e.m. in a stack of stuff weiting more than a km year and a helf for filing.

Fritz did not say the telegram wer given Oswald or but with his papers, he things that should have been done. If this were not, then the police should have filed and kept it. Or gotten a duplicate from local testern Union,

If we term Union could not provider copy of their telegram, I think the most likely explanation is that the FBI got it, which would also explain zerixing B Fritz's enswer. The FBI is coreful not to say it asked Western Union, and the company does have a record of delivery. The FBI does not say who got the wire.

Missing is page 377. Is it on an asthing else yet between 375 and 378?

Without the denial of Usweld's rights, none of what subsequently happened could have. Without shemanigens, he would not have been denied his rights. The police knew Abt had said no and they didn't tell Usweld. They knew he wanted ACLU and three officiels teld The otherwise: McDervid, In Chicago, seems to have known. The NBC gootage includes his ACLU appeal. This is in WHITEWASH. Therefore, I regard the denial of his rights, the lying to him and the ACLU and the Commission, etc., misrepresentation, as not just the normal police abuse of the individual.